



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/000,445	12/04/2001	Eiji Komatsu	32014-176297	9186		
20987	7590 09/06/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	NE FRANCOS, & WI DOM SQUARE	RIVERO, MINERVA				
	DOM SQUARE DOM DRIVE SUITE 1	260	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA 20190			2655			

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/000,445	KOMATSU, EIJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Minerva Rivero	2655		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Minerva Rivero	2655						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31: or					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).	•						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered TE below);	because					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	/ \	timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		-	_					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	iii be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		₹ +						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 5 e e a Hached.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
_ 	\	() () () () () () () () () ()						
	PRIM	ARY EXAMINER						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Regarding the arguments submitted 8/23/05 (see Remarks, Pp. 3-5), the Applicant argues that the system of Norton *et al.* does not modify an upper and a lower interactive task, and simply combines the tasks without any modification.

It is the examiner's understanding that the modification of upper and lower interactive sequences in Applicant's invention involves a user-initiated interaction with the speech interactive interface, wherein in response to and in concordance with a user speech input, the system fetches a pertinent lower interactive task (sub-task) to complete the current upper interactive task (top-level task). As Fig. 7 in Applicant's drawings shows, modification of the upper interactive task consists of appending (combining) the fetched pertinent lower interactive task, which is necessary for continuation of the process.

Thus, the system disclosed by Norton et al. is analogous to that of the Applicant since in response to the user input (the volunteered value), and with the intention of completing a top-level task (the example embodiment being that of placing an order), the Dialog Manager completes a sub-task or roleset by filling in with the acquired information thereby accessing the appropriate lower sub-task (roleset) (see Figs. 2C, 3A and 3B).

Furthermore, Norton *et al.* explicitly disclose that the Dialog Manager is not predefined, therefore the tasks are fetched as necessary resulting in ongoing modification of the main interactive sequence.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minerva Rivero whose telephone number is (571) 272-7626. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Talivaldis Ivars Smits can be reached on (571) 272-7628. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR 8/31/05

W. H. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER